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## Camera, lens and scheme



## Calibration

- 2008, Brussels. Measurement of the template by means of DAMIAN (Digital Access to Metric Images Archives Network)

Template is a photographic plate $(16 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm})$ with about of 200 round marks which are made by photographic method. Size of mark is 250-300 microns

- Correction of distortion, set DAMIAN's measured coordinates $X, Y$ [mm] as standart
- Reduction model $\left\{\begin{array}{rr}X=\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{i} a_{i j} x^{j} y^{n-j} & \begin{array}{r}x, y \text { - measured coordinates } \\ \text { of template marks, } \mathrm{px} .\end{array} \\ Y=\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{i} b_{i j} y^{j} x^{n-j} & X, Y \text {-standart coordinates } \\ \text { of template marks, } \mathrm{mm} .\end{array}\right.$
- Comparison our measurements with DAMIAN's one
- Calculating of reduction's parameters $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i j}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i j}}$


## Distortion of DAMIAN's lens

Partly overlapping imagets are taken from template with DAMIAN.
Size of imagets is $7 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ (field of lens's view).
About of 100 marks of template were placed on 2 or 4 imagets.
Calculation of differences for camera's coordinates for two imagets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{X}[\mathrm{~mm}]=\mathrm{Xpos} 1-\mathrm{Xpos} 2 \\
& \Delta \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{~mm}]=\mathrm{Ypos} 1-\mathrm{Ypos} 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Generation of equations system:
$\Delta \mathrm{X}[\mathrm{mm}]=f\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{1}[\mathrm{px}], \mathrm{Y}_{1}[\mathrm{px}]\right)-f\left(\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{2}[\mathrm{px}], \mathrm{Y}_{2}[\mathrm{px}]\right)$
$\Delta \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{mm}]=f\left(\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{1}[\mathrm{px}], \mathrm{Y}_{1}[\mathrm{px}]\right)-f\left(\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{2}[\mathrm{px}], \mathrm{Y}_{2}[\mathrm{px}]\right)$
$\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - parameters of the transformation of coordinates, obtained taking into account the distortion
$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ - coordinates of mark on 1-st imaget, px.
$\mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ - coordinates of the same mark on 2-nd imaget, px .
Solution of system by the method of least squares and determination the parameters $\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}} . \sigma_{1 \mathrm{X}}=0.15 \mu, \sigma_{1 \mathrm{y}}=0.17 \mu$.

## Correction of distortion

## Code of function for coordinate transformation from pixels to mm:

```
void vdam(double x, // pix
    double y, // pix
    double* xmm, // mm
    double* ymm, // mm
    double XPOSITION,// from fits head
    double YPOSITION,// from fits head
    double ti1, // ! pixel coordinate of x distortion center.
    double ti2, // ! pixel coordinate of y distortion center.
    double ti3, // ! initial scale [mm/pixel] x-axis
    double ti4, // ! initial scale [mm/pixel] y-ax
    double tp1,// 1. scale X
    double tp2,// 2. rot. X
    double tp3,// 3. offset X not used
    double tp4,// 4. scale Y
    double tp5,// 5. rot. Y
    double tp6,// 6. offset Y not used
    double tp7,// 7. dist.Off.X
    double tp8,// 8. dist.Off.Y
    double tp9 // 9. distor.
    )
```


## Calibration

- Digitization of template by Canon camera and measurements
- Reduction model $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}X=3 \\ =\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{i} a_{i j} x^{j} y^{n-j} \\ Y=\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{i} b_{i j} y^{j} x^{n-j}\end{array}\right.$
$x, y$ - measured coordinates
of template marks, px.
$X, Y$ - standart coordinates
of template marks, mm.
- Comparison our measurements with DAMIAN's one
- Calculating of reduction's parameters $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i j}}, \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i j}}$


## Systematic errors before correction

The dependence of the systematic errors in $X$
from $X$-coordinate and
from $Y$-coordinate


The dependence of the systematic errors in $Y$
from $X$-coordinate

and
from $Y$-coordinate



The vector field of systematic errors of the digitized image ( $3744 \times 5616 \mathrm{px}$ ). Maximal errors are 0.0900 mm for $X$ and 0.1000 mm for $Y$.

## Residuals



The dependence of the residuals in $X$
from X-coordinate

and
from $Y$-coordinate

The dependence of the residuals in $Y$


$$
\sigma_{1 \mathrm{X}}=0.56 \mu, \sigma_{1 \mathrm{Y}}=0.63 \mu, \text { number of measurements }-30
$$

Residuals after correction of aberrations.
Maximal errors are 0.0017 mm for $X$ and 0.0017 mm for $Y$
at the egde of image
Workshop NAROO-GAIA, Paris Observatory, June 20-22, 2012

## Stability of measurements

Template was digitized at different positions and was measured for several times. The comparison was made using the Turner's method.

| rms, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ | $\sigma_{\mathbf{X}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathbf{Y}}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| multiple digitization <br> without plate offset | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| multiple digitization <br> with plate offset | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| comparison of digitized template with <br> one, turned on $180^{\circ}$ | 0.73 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| comparison of digitized template with <br> one, turned on $\mathbf{9 0}^{\circ}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

Table 1. Stability of measurements. $N$ - number of digitizations and measurements used for deriving an average error.

## Test: measurements of ADS 8002

## Photographic plates

- 55 plates by 26 -inch refractor (D 65 cm, F10413mm, Scale 19.80 " $/ \mathrm{mm}$ ).
- 5 reference stars into area of $75 \times 90 \mathrm{~mm}$.
- number of exposures - $5 \div 20$.
- 1 px of digitized image corresponds to $21 \mu$ of plate.


## Previous measurements

- by semi-automatic measuring mashine "Askorecord"
- by automatic measuring machine "Fantasy"
- scanner Microtek Scan Maker i900 by two methods

The optimal shooting mode for the best image:

- Spectral range - white background
- Aperture
- 8
- Exposure
$-\frac{1}{4}$, ISO 400
- Lens
- 300 mm «TAIR-3» vs 200mm «Jupiter 21 M»
- "Live-view" mode with raised the mirror
- Pause before shooting
- Multiple shooting of plate


## Comparison of accuracies

|  | N | mean $\sigma$ | ADS 8002 A |  | ADS 8002 B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}[\mu]$ | $\sigma_{Y}[\mu]$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{X}}[\mu]$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{Y}}[\mu]$ |
| Fantasy | 25 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 0.66 |
| Askorecord | 25 | 1.57 | 1.34 | 1.72 | 1.29 | 1.95 |
| Fantasy | 36 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 1.06 | 0.68 |
| Scaner by method 1 | 36 | 2.95 | 1.88 | 3.72 | 2.57 | 3.65 |
| Fantasy | 30 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.64 |
| Scaner by method 2 | 30 | 1.80 | 1.51 | 1.56 | 2.61 | 1.49 |
| Fantasy | 40 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 1.19 | 0.83 |
| digitized <br> by Canon | 40 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.01 |

Table 2. Standard deviations (in microns) for one plate in $X$ and $Y$ for components $A$ and $B$ of double star ADS 8002. $N$ - number of plates used for comparison.

## Average accuracy of measuring methods

| «Askorecord» | 1.94 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scanner (method by I. Izmailov) | 3.51 |
| Scanner (method by S. Kalinin) | 2.33 |
| «Fantasy» | 1.00 |
| Digitization by Canon | 0.99 |

Accuracy of Fantasy's measurements is adopted as 1

## Results - trigonometric parallaxes of ADS 8002 components

By automatic measuring machine "Fantasy" -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{A}=43.25 \pm 6.3 \mathrm{mas} \\
& \pi_{B}=31.9 \pm 7.5 \mathrm{mas}
\end{aligned}
$$

By measurements of plates digitized with camera Canon

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{A}=51.22 \pm 6.6 \mathrm{mas} \\
& \pi_{B}=30.9 \pm 6.6 \mathrm{mas}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example of photographic observations of Saturn's satellites

 Observational material: 1975 jan, feb, mar, 10 nights, 24 plates Objects:

## Digitization, measurements and reduction:

- Digitization template and plates by camera Canon (2 plates per minute)
- Measurements with software package IZMCCD (by I. Izmailov) - x, y [px]. Centers of images are defined by Moffat profile
- Calibration and transformation $x, y[p x]$ to $X, Y[m m]$
- Astrometric reduction by Turner's method (9-12 reference stars for plate) using TYCHO2 as reference catalog


## Comparison with a theory

All theoretical positions of Saturnian satellites were taken with Natural Satellites Ephemeride Server MULTI-SAT (N.Emelyanov).
Ephemeris were calculated according to theory NOE-6-2011-MAIN (V.Lainey, 2011).

| Satellite | mean <br> $(O-C)_{\alpha} \cdot \cos \delta$ | mean <br> $(O-C)_{\delta}$ | $\sigma_{(0-\mathrm{c}) \alpha}$ | $\sigma_{(0-\mathrm{c}) \delta}$ | $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ | $\varepsilon_{\delta}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enceladus S2 <br> 8 position | -0.16 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.27 | $\pm 0.15$ | $\pm 0.12$ |
| Tethys <br> 23 positions | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.16 | 0.06 | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.03$ |
| Dione (S4) <br> 52 positions | -0.03 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.10 | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.04$ |
| Rhea(S5) <br> 67 positions | -0.07 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.09 | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.03$ |
| Titan (S6) <br> 82 positions | -0.12 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.08 | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 0.03$ |
| Hyperion (S7) <br> 8 positions | 0.00 | -0.07 | 0.24 | 0.22 | $\pm 0.30$ | $\pm 0.16$ |
| Iapetus (S8) <br> 71 positions | -0.07 | -0.01 | 0.16 | 0.09 | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.04$ |

Mean values of ( $O-C$ ) residuals, standard deviation $\sigma$, arcsec, and average errors of mean positions $\varepsilon$, arcsec.

## Plates with Pluto images

Файл Вид Вычисления Разметка Справка






Size $=936 / 936 \operatorname{Exp}=0.01 \mathrm{~min}=2754 \max =41933$ Dat $\mathrm{x}=0460 \mathrm{y}=0304 \mathrm{I}=05618 \mathrm{ra}=101411.338 \mathrm{dec}=+224021.37$

## Pluto positions

64 plates with Pluto were obtained with Normal Astrograph and digitized with Canon camera (now in process of data treatment).
(O-C) for Pluto from some plates

|  | By our method |  | by DAMIAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UTC | $(O-C) \alpha * \cos \delta$ | $(O-C) \delta$ | $(O-C) \alpha * \cos \delta$ | $(O-C) \delta$ |
| 19560316.883330 | 0.11 | -0.13 | -0.21 | -0.26 |
| 19560331.809130 | -0.05 | -0.11 | -0.23 | -0.25 |
| 19560430.885810 | 0.43 | 0.01 | -0.22 | -0.13 |

## Advantage and shortcoming

## Some advantages of this method of digitization:

- Digitization speed - 2 plates per minute;
- Absence of distortions caused by the irregularity of the movement scanner line;
- Absence of distortions associated with the mosaic of the image;
- Distortion of digitized image are caused by lens aberrations and easy to correct;
- Camera is easily replaced in the case of failure or upgrade to next model;
- Stand with the carrier of the plate and camera is mobile and may be delivered to remote storage of glass plates:
- Low cost: camera < 2000 euro, lens - priceless, others components - some more 300 euro.


## Disadvantage:

Low resolution. 1 px of digitized image corresponds to $21 \mu$ of plate.

## Conclusion

Digitization of photoplates with Canon EOS 5D Mark II camera, equipped with «Jupiter 21M» lens, are suitable for measurements for astrometric goals.

## Thank you for attention!

## Camera and lens


21.1-megapixel full-frame CMOS digital camera sensor size $36 \times 24$ MM maximum resolution $5616 \times 3744$ bpp-42
ISO 50-3200

## Canon EOS 5D Mark II

- focal lenght 200 mm
-aperture 1:4.0 до 1:22
- field of view $12^{\circ}$
-resolution (center/edge) 40/30 lines/mm
-lens mount M42×1

«Jupiter 21 M»
(vintage Soviet lens)

